



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,361	09/14/2004	Timothy C. Bonerb	BON.US.55	5360
24111	7590	11/20/2008	EXAMINER	
MESMER & DELEAULT, PLLC			FOX, CHARLES A	
1 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE.				
SUITE 125			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801			3652	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PHIL@BIZ-PATLAW.COM
mailbox@biz-patlaw.com
phildecker@mac.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10711361	9/14/2004	BONERB, TIMOTHY C.	BON.US.55

EXAMINER

CHARLES FOX

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3652 20081117

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This letter is in response to phone messages from Phil Decker regarding the rejections of claims 24. Claim 24 should have been rejected along with claim 23 in the office action mailed on October 29, 2008. The primary reference to Bailey et al. teaches the concept of the bottom wall being any angle below 90 degrees relative to the horizontal plane. It is further noted that the instant specification give no relative angle as claimed and none are set forth in the drawings. As such the angle as set forth in claim 24 is met by Bailey et al. as well as being an obvious design choice. The examiner regrets the omission of claim 24 in the above office action.

/ Charles A. Fox/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3652